

Individual cessation

Focus is on individuals

Voluntary

5 As of cessation





Endgame requires institutional cessation

The industry, the state, and retailers need to quit

They won't do it unless compelled

Instead of the 5 As, we offer the 6 Es

The 6 Es of retailer cessation

Examine the policy options

Explore the retailer landscape

Explain why we need to end sales

Explode misconceptions

Empathize with retailers, but . .

Emphasize that the End is coming



Examine policy options



Endgame policies are legal

Local jurisdictions can determine what products may be sold and how.

Two cities have already passed ordinances ending tobacco sales.

Dozens of cities restrict the number, density or types of retailers permitted to sell tobacco.





Endgame policies are doable

California's smoking prevalence continues to drop.

2016	2017	2018	2019
11.9%	10.2%	11.2%	6.9%



Endgame policies are doable

As this trend continues, retailers will need to prepare and plan for the transition away from relying on tobacco sales.

Responsible government leaders can act now to encourage this.



Policy options for reducing...

Types of retailers (pharmacies, etc.)

Locations of retailers (buffer zones)

Density of retailers (caps, no new licenses)

Products (close loopholes in state flavor law)

Explore the retail landscape

0119

Who are the retailers?

Big box/chain stores Pharmacies Gas stations Convenience stores Liquor stores **News** agents **Tobacco-only/vape shops**

Where are retailers?

Near schools, parks, playgrounds? (Buffer zones)

Near other tobacco retailers? (Minimum distance policies)

In rural areas?

What relationships do you have with retailers?

Consider outreach surveys about types of resources or incentives that might enlist some retailers to support phasing out sales



Presence of stores selling tobacco

Increases chances of relapse among people trying to quit Associated with youth and young adult initiation Promotes adult tobacco use Concentrated in certain neighborhoods Normalizes the product as an ordinary consumer product

Explode Misconceptions



"Prohibition doesn't work."

Counterargument: Alcohol prohibition failed because social drinkers wanted to continue occasional use.

Tobacco is used by a shrinking minority of the population, most of whom want to quit.



"Tobacco is a legal product."

Counterargument: we get to decide what's legal; we've decided other things aren't legal anymore.



"Restrictions on tobacco sales will lead to overpolicing communities of color."

Counterargument: Sales restrictions do not criminalize smoking. Enforcement focuses on retailer.

Empathize

lever Forget

Ble

rod Bless

Addressing retailer opposition

Consider programs or incentives (business consultation, small grants programs, etc.)

Engage customers in outreach, especially youth coalitions

Understand that some retailers will lose some business

Never Forge

Emphasize: Tobacco is going away and it's time to get ready

Start conversations What else needs to be done? Individual and institutional responsibility for quitting tobacco

Resources

https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/fact-sheets/ https://endtobaccoca.ash.org/ash-resources/

How to Talk about Ending the Sale of Tobacco Products

The California Tobacco Control Program's (CTCP) new goal of ending the commercial tobacco epidemic in the state by 2035 comes from years of discussion and debate in tobacco control and is built on policy advances achieved by California communities, (CTCP's goal does not include limiting traditional tobacco use such as tobacco plants arown or harvested and used by American Indians and Alaska Natives for ceremonial or medicinal purposes.) While many policies may help advance toward the endgame goal, the ultimate endgame policy is phasing out sales of tobacco products. Some may find this idea implausible, but it's important to remember that many tobacco control achievements, such as smoke-free bars, once also seemed unlikely. Policy innovations in support of this new goal may bring up new objections. Below are some of the arguments you may hear and some potential responses.

Tobacco is a "legal product."

We, as a society, get to decide what products are legal to be sold. Harmful products have been taken off the market before, such as leaded gasoline and asbestos. On a smaller scale, legal consumer products found to be hazardous are regularly pulled from the market, such as toys that might cause children to choke, or contaminated foods, sometimes even before harms have occurred. Manufacturers of other products have to ensure that they are safe to use or consume. The tobacco industry, seller of the only legal consumer product that kills two-thirds of its regular users,^{1,2} shouldn't be an exception.

What about the right to smoke?

There is no legal "right to smoke."³ The U.S. constitution does not extend special protection to smokers. Furthermore, CTCP's vision does not focus on individuals who smoke, but rather on sales of tobacco products. CTCP does not support laws that criminalize purchase, use, or possession of tobacco products.

Prohibiting tobacco sales will lead to prohibitions on other products (sugar, meat, etc.)

Tobacco is not like products that can be used safely in moderation. It is more similar to products that harm and kill in even small amounts - like asbestos and leaded gas. Like commercial tobacco, these are fundamentally defective products, and were banned without affecting the sale of other/safer products.

What about freedom of choice?

Most people start using tobacco in their teens,⁴ as a result of persistent and pervasive tobacco industry marketing,⁵ without fully understanding how addictive nicotine is.^{6,7} Although young people may decide to smoke their first cigarette, they don't understand that this decision may lead to years of smoking.^{8,9} They also overestimate their ability to guit when they want to.^{10,11} Thus, most tobacco users do not make a free choice. Ninety percent of smokers regret that they started smoking,¹² and 70% want to quit.¹³ The widespread availability and marketing of tobacco makes it much harder to quit,¹⁴⁻¹⁸ prolonging addiction and thus diminishing rather than expanding freedom.

TOBACCO ENDGAME BASICS



DEFINITIONS

Tobacco Endgame Policies	Initiatives designed to change permanently the structural, political, and social dynamics that sustain the tobacco epidemic, to end it within a specific time.
Commercial Tobacco Products	Manufactured nicotine products (other than those deemed nicotine replacement therapy by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration). This does not include tobacco grown for ceremonial use by Native Americans.
Traditional Tobacco Products	Ceremonial tobacco used by Native Americans (excluded from tobacco endgame policies).

TOBACCO ENDGAME POLICY EXAMPLES

These policies center on the sale of tobacco products, without Phase Out penalizing possession, purchase, or use (i.e., there is no impact on **Tobacco Sales** the act of smoking).

Examples of policies already in place:

 Beverly Hills, CA - 2021 Manhattan Beach, CA - 2021

Permanently forbidding the sale of tobacco products to anyone Tobacco-Free born after a certain date. It can also be seen as a sales ban with a grandfather clause (permitting sales to those currently of age), or as raising the minimum age of sale one year, every year.

Examples of policies already in place:

- Brookline, MA July 2021 (no one born on or after January 1, 2000 can ever be sold tobacco products)
- · Balanga City, in the Philippines, has passed a similar law, but it is
- currently enjoined from enforcement due to a tobacco industry lawsuit.

Advancing Momentum for a Tobacco-Free California

Generation



THE EVIDENCE FOR THE ENDGAME: A WHITE PAPER



University of California, San Francisco	Patricia A. McDaniel, PhD
School of Nursing	Elizabeth A. Smith, PhD
Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences	Ruth E. Malone, RN, PhD

patricia.mcdaniel@ucsf.edu | libby.smith@ucsf.edu | ruth.malone@ucsf.edu